# **Cost Benefit and Public Finance Model of Smoking, Version 2.3**

Howard Reed, Landman Economics January 2025

# **Table of Contents**

Т	able of	f Contents	. 2
Ir	troduc	tion	. 4
Α	cknow	ledgements	. 5
1	Ove	erview	. 6
	1.1	Aims	. 6
	1.2	Overview of model components	. 6
2	Est	imation of productivity costs of smoking: 2024	. 9
	2.1	Early deaths due to smoking	. 9
	2.2	Reduced employment levels for smokers compared to non-smokers	. 9
	2.3	Lower wages for smokers compared to non-smokers	10
	2.4 service	Economic impacts of tobacco expenditure compared to other goods and ses	10
3	Est	imation of tax and social security impacts of smoking: 2024	12
	3.1 earnir	Reduced tax receipts due to early deaths, lower employment and lower ngs in employment	12
	3.2 worki	Increased social security payments due to lower employment and increaseng age morbidity	
	3.3 pensi	Changes to state pension and other social security payments for oners	13
	3.4 and ta	Modelling the impact of changes in tobacco taxation on smoking prevalendax receipts	
	3.5 produ	Modelling the tax implications of diversion of expenditure from tobacco	14
	3.6 to sm	Reductions in indirect tax revenues arising from reduced employment due	15
4		imate of public service costs of smoking: 2024	
	4.1	Costs of smoking to NHS	
	4.2	Costs of smoking to social care system	
	Loc	cal authority social care spending	
		st of social care to self-funders	
		ormal care costs of smoking	
		met need for social care	
	4.3	Costs of house fires	
5	Pro	ducing estimates for future years	

	5.1 in toba	Assumptions regarding future smoking prevalence and future investment acco control	
	The	baseline forecast scenarios	. 19
	5.2 age gi	Forecasts for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland population roup	•
	5.3	Smoking-attributable mortality rate	. 22
	5.4	Economic forecasts	. 22
	Lab	our market variables	. 22
	Pric	e indices	. 22
	5.5	Uprating of tobacco duties	. 22
	5.6	Tax and benefit thresholds and rates	. 23
	Inco	ome tax and National Insurance rates and thresholds	. 23
	Uni	versal credit and benefit uprating	. 23
	5.7	Public services cost increases	. 23
6	Met	hodological issues	. 24
	6.1	Choice of forecast time period	. 24
	6.2 length	The relationship between NHS costs and the profile of ex-smokers by of time since quitting smoking	. 24
	6.3 down	Assumption about how the reduction in the number of smokers breaks into quitters and non-starters	. 25
	6.4	Treatment of end-of-life healthcare costs	. 26
	6.5 of a P	Valuing life using Quality Adjusted Life Years (QALYs) instead of the Valuevented Fatality (VPF) method	
7	Hea	adline results from the CBPF model	. 29
	7.1	Costs and public finance impacts of smoking in 2024	. 29
	Cos	sts of smoking to the UK economy and society	. 29
	Pub	lic finance costs of smoking	. 30
	7.2 throug	Impact of modelled tobacco control policies for England in Scenario 2, 20 Jh 2030	
	Imp	act on costs of smoking to the UK economy and society	. 33
	Imp	act on the public finances	. 35
	Imp	act on employment	. 37
8	Cor	nclusion	. 38
R	eferen	ces	. 39
Α	ppendi	x: Detailed methodology for calculation of lost productivity due to smoking	<b>J</b> -
re	lated e	early deaths	43

### Introduction

This document provides an overview of the structure and workings behind version 2.3 of the Cost Benefit and Public Finance Model of Smoking commissioned from Landman Economics by ASH (referred to in this document as the CBPF model) and also presents headline results for the cost of smoking to the economy and public finances of the UK and its constituent countries (England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland).

Version 1 of the CBPF model was developed by Howard Reed in 2009-10 building on the cost-benefit model developed for ASH by Paul Johnson (Johnson, 2009; Reed, 2010).

Version 2.0 of the model, coded in 2021-22, was a complete rewrite which brings the model up to date while incorporating the latest and widest evidence on the costs of smoking to the UK economy and public finances. The model is designed to be updated at regular intervals as new evidence on the costs of smoking is produced and new data become available. See Reed (2023a) for details of Version 2.0.

Version 2.1 (November 2023) was a minor update which added the most recent data to the model and uses Quality-Adjusted Life Years (QALYs) to estimate the value of lives lost due to smoking.

Version 2.2 (May 2024) updated the economic forecasts used for the model, updates the estimated value of a QALY and makes minor adjustments to the treatment of tax revenue from smoking and fire costs. See Reed (2024) for the details of Version 2.2.

Version 2.3 (January 2025) is a minor update which adds the most recent data to the model.

The structure of this document is as follows:

Section 1 provides an overview of the components of the cost-benefit and public finance aspects of the model as well as showing which aspects are new in this version of the model.

Sections 2, 3 and 4 explain how each element of the costs of smoking to the economy and the public finances is estimated in the current (or most recent) year of the model – currently 2024. Section 2 looks at costs relating to productivity, Section 3 looks at taxes and benefits, and Section 4 explains how the costs of smoking to services (healthcare, social care and the fire service) are estimated.

Section 5 explains the assumptions and modelling processes used to produce estimates for future years (over a 50-year time period).

Section 6 focuses on particular methodological issues which have been addressed during the rewrite of the CBPF model for Version 2.0 and subsequent revisions.

Section 7 presents estimates from the model for the cost of smoking to the UK economy and the public finances in 2024, and the potential impact of tobacco control policies recommended by the All Party Parliamentary Group on Smoking and Health in its November 2023 report *Make Smoking History* over the years 2025 to 2050. This section incorporates the results of modelling by the Tobacco and Alcohol Research Group at University College London.

Section 8 offers conclusions.

# **Acknowledgements**

The Model was commissioned by, and developed in collaboration with, ASH. The majority of the funding came from the British Heart Foundation and Cancer Research UK, with additional funding for some of the components of the Model provided by the Department of Health and Social Care.

Helpful comments on the component parts of the model have been provided by analysts from DHSC, Public Health England and subsequently OHID, but the author would particularly like to thank Dr J Robert Branston, University of Bath, and Dr Tessa Langley, University of Nottingham.

The data from the UK Family Resources Survey and Understanding Society (UK Household Longitudinal Study) used in this report were made available through the UK Data Archive and are Crown Copyright.

#### Suggested Citation

Reed H. Cost Benefit and Public Finance Model of Smoking in the UK, Version 2.3. A report commissioned by Action on Smoking and Health (ASH) from Landman Economics. January 2025.

© 2025. This report is licensed under a Creative Commons licence CC BY-NC-ND 4.0. Where reproduced credit must be given to: Analysis commissioned by Action on Smoking and Health (UK) from Landman Economics. The material may not be used for commercial purposes or modified without express permission from ASH and Landman Economics.

### 1 Overview

#### 1.1 Aims

The CBPF model is designed with two main functions in mind:

- 1) Estimating the total costs of smoking to the UK (at a national level) and the impact of changes in smoking prevalence on these costs both now, and decades into the future.
- 2) Estimating the overall impact of smoking on the public finances (taking into account tax revenue and public spending impacts) and the impact of changes in smoking prevalence on the public finances.

### 1.2 Overview of model components

Table 1.1 gives an overview of the components of costs of smoking and public finance impacts of smoking which are included in the current version of the CBPF model. The left-hand column outlines each component of the model and also indicates whether the component is a new addition to version 2.0 or 2.1 of the model, or whether it is an update of a component that was already in version 1. The column also indicates minor updates to some components for version 2.2 onwards.

Table 1.1 Components of the CBPF model Version 2.3 and guide to where they are discussed in detail in the report

Component	Costs to the economy and society	Impact on public finances		
productivity     related costs	Lost & reduced productivity – Section 2	tax and benefit impacts related to lost & reduced productivity – Section 3		
Early deaths due to smoking	Lost productivity due to early death	i) Reduced tax receipts due to lost productivity due to early death ii) Reduced pension payments due to early death		
Reduced employment levels for smokers compared to non- smokers	Reduced productivity due to lower employment levels	i) Reduced tax receipts due to lower employment levels ii) Increased benefit payments (e.g. ESA) due to lower working age employment levels for smokers		
Lower wages for smokers compared to non-smokers	Reduced earnings for smokers compared to non-smokers (conditional on being in employment)	Reduced tax receipts due to lower wages		
Economic impacts of tobacco expenditure compared to other goods and services  New in version 2.0  Revised version 2.2  and 2.3	Reduced Gross Value Added resulting from expenditure by smokers on tobacco products compared to other goods and services that they would have bought instead if they were nonsmokers (including multiplier effects of spending)	Reduced tax receipts resulting from lower GVA and employment due to expenditure on tobacco compared to other goods and services		
2. Increased costs of service provision	Service costs (public and private) – Section 4	Public service costs – Section 4		
Costs of smoking to NHS	i) Additional hospital     admissions for smoking-     related conditions     ii) Treating smoking-related     illness via primary and     ambulatory care service	Additional hospital     admissions for smoking-     related conditions     Treating smoking-related     illness via primary and     ambulatory care service		
Costs of smoking to social care system  New in version 2.0	i) Cost to local authority social care budgets ii) Additional self-funding costs for individuals/families who fund private care iii) Implicit cost of additional informal care iv) Cost of additional unmet need	Cost to local authority social care budgets		
Costs of house fires New in version 2.0	i) Cost to Fire and Rescue services for responding to smoking-related fires     ii) Property damage arising from smoking-related fires	i) Cost to Fire and Rescue services for responding to smoking-related fires		

	iii) Value of fatalities due to smoking-related fire iv) Injury from smoking-related fire	
3. Value of preventable fatalities due to smoking – Section 6.5. New in Version 2.1, revised Version 2.2	Value of fatalities due to early deaths from smoking-related diseases – measured and valued using QALYs	n/a

In addition, the model also contains a module which estimates the relationship between changes in tobacco taxation and smoking prevalence using assumptions about the elasticity of demand for cigarettes and hand rolling tobacco in the UK. This is discussed further in Section 3.4.

Finally, the model also estimates the reduction in employment due to smoking – which comprises two components:

- i) Employment effects due to productivity (lower employment for smokers than non-smokers);
- ii) Lower employment due to expenditure by smokers on tobacco products supporting fewer jobs than other goods and services that they would have bought instead if they were non-smokers.

The employment effects are discussed in more detail in Section 2.2 (for the productivity-related effects) and Section 2.4 (for the employment impacts of tobacco expenditure compared to other goods and services).

# 2 Estimation of productivity costs of smoking: 2024

This section explains how each component of the productivity costs of smoking is estimated in the calendar year 2024, and future years (up to 2073).

### 2.1 Early deaths due to smoking

The economic costs arising from smokers and ex-smokers dying earlier than they would have otherwise done had they never smoked are a new estimate made specifically for version 2.0 of the CBPF model (rather than being an existing estimate which was incorporated into the model). This module estimates the number of early deaths due to smoking and then calculates the productivity losses due to early death (among people of working age). The technical details of these calculations are set out in the Appendix to this report.

Section 6.5 of this report also discusses estimation of the value of preventable fatalities due to smoking (the third component of the costs of smoking in Table 1.1 above). The value of preventable fatalities due to smoking is estimated based on the total number of years of life lost due to smoking among the current smoking population aged 35 and older. This is converted into a total number of Quality-Adjusted Life Years (QALYs) lost due to smoking using data on the distribution of deaths due to smoking by age and sex. The value of lost QALYs is estimated using the HM Treasury Green Book estimate of the value of a QALY (HMT, 2022) which is £70,000 in 2022 prices. This element is distinct from the productivity costs of early death due to smoking.

# 2.2 Reduced employment levels for smokers compared to non-smokers

Recent work for ASH by Reed (2023b)<sup>1</sup> models the relationship between smoking and employment status and smoking and earnings using data from a British longitudinal dataset, Understanding Society (USoc)<sup>2</sup>. The research estimates the impact of smoking in Waves 2 through 11 of the survey (interviewed annually between 2010-11 and 2019-20) – as well as information on whether people were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The estimates in Reed (2023b) are an updated version of Reed (2020). As discussed in Reed (2023b), the new estimates are robustness-checked using data from Wave 10 of USoc (interviews for which concluded in December 2019, just before the Covid-19 pandemic) to ascertain whether Covid affected the estimated employment and earnings impacts from Waves 11 and 12. Overall, there is no statistically significant impact of Covid on the estimated results.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For more details see "Understanding Society: The UK Household Longitudinal Study", <a href="https://www.understandingsociety.ac.uk/">https://www.understandingsociety.ac.uk/</a>

smokers before Wave 2 of the survey – on the probability of employment and the earnings from employment of respondents in Wave 12 of the survey, in 2020-21. The estimate of the lower probability of employment for smokers compared to non-smokers from Reed (2023b) is used in the CBPF model (adjusted to take account of changes in overall employment rates since the original estimate was made). Full details of the methodology and empirical specification for the employment model are given in Reed (2023b).

The reduction in employment levels due to smoking is included as an additional output in the CBPF model results (as shown in Section 7.2 below).

### 2.3 Lower wages for smokers compared to non-smokers

The method of estimating lower average earnings for smokers compared to nonsmokers explained in Section 2.2 and taken from Reed (2023b) is used in the CBPF model (adjusted to take account of changes in average earnings since the original estimate was made). Full details of the methodology and empirical specification for the earnings model are given in Reed (2023b).

# 2.4 Economic impacts of tobacco expenditure compared to other goods and services

Consumption of goods and services in the UK economy has multiplier effects because of the derived demand for goods and services used by industries which supply goods and services for final consumption. Every pound spent on cigarettes or hand rolling tobacco is a pound not spent on something else in the economy. The approach taken is an updated version of the methodology used by Buck et al (1995) at the Centre for Health Economics, University of York. The multiplier effects of tobacco consumption are relatively limited compared to most other goods and services that consumers can spend their money on, for three reasons:

- a) employment in the tobacco industry in the UK is close to zero<sup>3</sup>.
- b) Tobacco expenditure supports relatively few jobs in the supply chain (e.g. distribution, retail).
- c) Taxes (excise duties and VAT) make up around three-quarters of the price of a typical pack of cigarettes. Therefore, only around one-quarter of expenditure on cigarettes supports employment in the tobacco industry or its supply chain.

Therefore, as tobacco consumption declines, we would expect output and employment in the UK to increase as consumers switch expenditure away from tobacco and towards other goods and services which support additional output and employment due to larger multiplier effects. A report for ASH by Reed (2025),

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Tobacco Manufacturers Association (2017) gives a total figure of 5,000.

updating previous work for ASH by Reed (2021a), estimates the positive economic impact of tobacco consumption falling from current levels to zero on output and employment in the UK using an input-output analysis. The estimate of the output gain (measured as Gross Value Added) from tobacco expenditure falling to zero in the UK is used as a measure of the economic cost arising from current levels of tobacco expenditure. The CBPF model uses the estimate from Reed (2025) adjusted to take account of changes in tobacco consumption since the original estimate was made. Full details of the methodology and empirical specification for the economic impacts model are given in Reed (2025).

The reduction in employment levels due to the lower number of jobs supported by tobacco expenditure compared to other goods and services is included as an additional output in the CBPF model results (as shown in Section 7.2 below).

# 3 Estimation of tax and social security impacts of smoking: 2024

This section explains how each component of the impacts of smoking on tax receipts and social security expenditure (also known as benefits or welfare expenditure) is estimated in the most recent year.

# 3.1 Reduced tax receipts due to early deaths, lower employment and lower earnings in employment

This estimation procedure uses the distribution of earnings from employment and self-employment in the UK estimated using the Family Resources Survey dataset. Because the UK income tax and National Insurance system is non-linear (due to the progressivity of the tax system), quantile points from across the distribution (10 within-decile means) are used to estimate the income tax and national insurance payable at different distributional points. This provides a more accurate estimate of lost tax receipts due to early deaths than simply using the income tax and NICs payable on average earnings.

A similar approach is used for estimating the reduced tax receipts due to lower employment for smokers compared to non-smokers. To estimate the reduced earnings of smokers relative to non-smokers, an extended version of the earnings model outlined in Reed (2023b) is used to model the difference in earnings *across the distribution of earnings* for smokers compared to non-smokers – i.e. the difference in within-decile earnings means across the ten deciles of the distribution.

# 3.2 Increased social security payments due to lower employment and increased working age morbidity

The estimate of increased social security payments due to lower employment and increased working age morbidity uses data from Understanding Society on smoker status and receipt of various types of benefit received by people with disability and ill health including Employment and Support Allowance, Disability Living Allowance, Income Support, and Housing Benefit. The USoc data contains information on benefit receipt and smoker status which makes it possible to model differences in the propensity to receive various benefits by smoker status. The estimates from USoc are combined with data on average payments of each type of benefit from the DWP's Stat-Xplore data to estimate the relationship between smoker status and overall benefit expenditure.

# 3.3 Changes to state pension and other social security payments for pensioners

Because smoking increases the numbers of early deaths it results in lower aggregate state pensions and other social security payments (such as Pension Credit and Housing Benefit) to pensioners; conversely, reducing smoking prevalence in the population leads to a higher overall pensions and social security bill.

The model estimate of the impact of smoking on state pensions costs assumes that people who have retired receive a state pension until death, and models state pensions based on a breakdown of levels of state pension payment by age group from the DWP's Stat-Xplore data<sup>4</sup>. We do not assume that everyone who is retired gets the full state pension payment because there are a large number of people who do not have a full contribution record and therefore do not have a full entitlement.

We also model additional payments of means-tested benefits – principally Pension Credit and Housing Benefit – for pensioners, using an analysis of amounts received by individuals aged 66 and over from recent Family Resources Survey data to calibrate the results.

# 3.4 Modelling the impact of changes in tobacco taxation on smoking prevalence and tax receipts

The estimate of the impact of changes in the level of tobacco taxation on smoking prevalence and tax receipts uses the same methodology as the original public finance model as set out in Reed (2010), Section 3.1. The 2010 model used a tobacco consumption elasticity of -0.5 based on estimates by Townsend (1996) and assumed that the *prevalence* elasticity of tobacco consumption (the extent to which smoking prevalence falls in response to an increase in tobacco prices) is equal to 50% of the consumption elasticity. Version 2 of the model uses more recent consumption elasticity estimates from HMRC, of -0.57 in the short-run and -1.19 in the long run (see Czubek and Johal, 2010 and HMRC, 2015<sup>5</sup>).

Version 2 of the CBPF model continues to assume that the prevalence elasticity of tobacco consumption is equal to 50 per cent of the consumption elasticity, based on recent reviews by Ekpu and Brown (2015) and the World Bank (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <a href="https://stat-xplore.dwp.gov.uk/webapi/jsf/login.xhtml">https://stat-xplore.dwp.gov.uk/webapi/jsf/login.xhtml</a>. The most recent available data for the State Pension at the time of publication was November 2024.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Czubek M, Johal S. (2010) Econometric analysis of cigarette consumption in the UK. HM Revenue & Customs; HMRC (2015) "Update to HMRC Working Paper Number 9: Econometric Analysis of Cigarette Consumption in the UK"

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/46 3686/Update\_to\_HMRC\_Working\_Paper\_Number\_9.pdf

The baseline projections for smoking prevalence are modelled as set out in Section 5.1 below, while baseline assumptions regarding future increases in tobacco taxation are set out in Section 5.5. We do not model the relationship between tobacco prices and smoking prevalence explicitly for the baseline scenarios as we assume that the impact of tobacco tax increases on smoking prevalence is already taken into account in the baseline smoking prevalence projections. However, the model is capable of analysing the impact of changes to tobacco taxation (for example, an additional increase in tobacco taxation over and above the increase in the tobacco duty escalator) on smoking prevalence, using the elasticity estimates presented above.

In versions of the CBPF model up to and including Version 2.1, revenue from VAT on tobacco purchases was included as part of the tax receipts from smoking. VAT has now been removed from the tax receipts estimate on the grounds that if people stop smoking and reallocate expenditure from tobacco products to other goods and services, most of those goods and services will also be subject to VAT – so Exchequer revenue from VAT would be similar regardless of the amount of expenditure on tobacco products in the UK economy. From Version 2.2 onwards, the model only includes excise duties on cigarettes and hand-rolling tobacco in the estimate of tax receipts from consumer spending on tobacco. Note that the model does not currently include excise duties from other tobacco products such as cigars or cigarillos; it is planned to add these in a future update.

# 3.5 Modelling the tax implications of diversion of expenditure from tobacco products to other goods and services

As explained in Reed (2025), reductions in smoking prevalence have the direct effect of reducing tax revenue (because of reductions in tobacco duty) but there is also an indirect *increase* in tax revenues due to the higher employment generated when consumers reallocate expenditure from tobacco products to other goods and services which support higher levels of employment. This increase in tax revenues comprises the additional income tax and NICs payments from the extra workers employed due to the consumption shift, as well as any additional indirect taxes paid by those workers.

# 3.6 Reductions in indirect tax revenues arising from reduced employment due to smoking

As well as the impact of smoking on the public finances through reduced employment due to increased numbers of early deaths and lower employment and earnings for smokers compared to non-smokers, there is also a knock-on impact on indirect tax revenues because of lower aggregate consumer expenditures due to lower employment. This is calculated using estimates from the Office for Budget Responsibility of the marginal propensity to consume out of net earnings (after income tax and NICs).

# 4 Estimate of public service costs of smoking: 2024

This section explains how the model estimates how the cost of smoking to public services – health, social care and fire services – is estimated in the most recent year.

### 4.1 Costs of smoking to NHS

The estimate of the costs of smoking to the NHS from DHSC (2017) is used, combined with estimates from DHSC's *Fingertips Profiles* online information portal for hospital admissions attributable to smoking<sup>6</sup>. These are adjusted to take account of increases in NHS costs and changes in population size, smoking prevalence and the distribution of ex-smokers (in terms of years since quitting) since the original estimate (which estimated NHS costs in 2015) was made. The data are also scaled up from the England level to the UK level using data on the size of the adult smoking population in the UK compared to England.

### 4.2 Costs of smoking to social care system

#### Local authority social care spending

Previous work for ASH by Reed (2021b) estimates the cost of smoking to the local authority-funded components of the social care system, including domiciliary care and residential care, using data from English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA) and the Health Survey for England. Full details of the methodology and empirical specification for the economic impacts model are given in Reed (2021b). The estimate from Reed (2021b) is used, adjusted to take account of increases in social care costs and population changes since the original data period which the estimate refers to. The data are also scaled up from the England level to the UK level as with the NHS costs above.

#### Cost of social care to self-funders

Unlike healthcare where private healthcare expenditure is very small in comparison to NHS funding, private expenditure on social care ("self-funding") is a significant

<sup>6</sup> 

part of overall social care expenditure<sup>7</sup>. Social care is means-tested and needs-tested, with local authorities only funding care for individuals whose income and assets are below a certain level. Reed (2021b) models the relationship between smoker and ex-smoker status and the cost of self-funded social care for individuals in the ELSA survey, but no significant impact of smoker status on self-funded care expenditure was found. Therefore, the cost of smoking to self-funded social care is not included in the CBPF model.

### Informal care costs of smoking

Informal care - where social care services are provided by relatives and friends of the care recipient on an unpaid basis – accounts for a significant proportion of social care in the UK<sup>8</sup>. Reed (2021b) estimates the costs of smoking to the informal care system in terms of the additional informal care required for smokers (conditional on age) compared to non-smokers. The additional informal care required for smokers is then valued as if it were purchased on the market and an aggregate figure estimated for the cost of smoking to the informal care system. The estimate from Reed (2021b) is used in the CBPF model, adjusted for population changes and care costs and scaled up from the England to the UK level. These costs are not included in the public finances analysis (because informal care is not publicly funded) but they are included in the cost-benefit analysis.

#### Unmet need for social care

There is a significant amount of unmet need for social care in the UK – where individuals require social care services but do not receive them<sup>9</sup>. Unmet need is defined as a situation where an individual's receipt of formal and/or informal care services does not meet all their care needs. Reed (2021b) estimates the costs of smoking in terms of additional unmet needs for social care using data from the Health Survey for England. The cost of meeting the additional unmet social care needs due to smoking is estimated using assumptions on how much it would cost to provide the additional social care to meet those unmet needs. The estimate from Reed (2021b) is used in the CBPF model, adjusted for population changes and care costs and scaled up from the England to the UK level. As with informal care costs, the additional unmet care needs associated with smoking are included in the cost-benefit analysis but not the public finances analysis.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> A report by the National Audit Office (2018) into social care provision and funding in England found that in 2016/17, self-funder expenditure on social care was £10.9 billion, compared to local authority expenditure on social care of £16.9 billion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The NAO (2018) reports that estimates of the total value of informal care in England range from £59 billion to nearly £100 billion per year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Age UK (2019) estimated that 1.4 million people over the age of 65 had some level of unmet care needs in 2018.

### 4.3 Costs of house fires

The UK Government's *Fire Statistics Data Tables* (Home Office, 2023) are combined with estimates for the economic cost of fire (DCLG, 2011) to produce estimates for the overall cost of smoking-related fires across England, which are then scaled up to the UK level using population data. The public finances analysis includes only the cost of smoking to the fire service, whereas the cost-benefit analysis includes the overall economic cost of smoking-related fires including property damage, injuries and fatalities from fire.

# 5 Producing estimates for future years

Sections 2, 3 and 4 explain how costs and public finance estimates are produced for the most recent completed calendar year (2024 at the time of writing). However, the CBPF model is designed to produce estimates for future years as well – up to 50 years into the future. This section explains the assumptions used to uprate each data source for future years and the methodology used to produce each component of the estimates using the uprated data.

# 5.1 Assumptions regarding future smoking prevalence and future investments in tobacco control

#### The baseline forecast scenarios

The current version of the CBPF model uses two different forecast scenarios for future trends in smoking prevalence in England. A crucial parameter here is the date when adult smoking prevalence falls to 5 per cent – which is the UK Government's definition of a "Smokefree" England. The two different scenarios utilised are:

**Scenario 1:** a trend reduction in smoking prevalence of 0.5 percentage points per year from 11.9% in 2023 (the most recent estimates for smoking prevalence in England from the Annual Population Survey – ONS, 2024). Assuming this rate of decrease, smoking prevalence reaches 5 per cent by 2037 – eight years later than the UK Government's current target of 2030.

**Scenario 2:** modelling the additional impact of tobacco control policies recommended by the APPG on Smoking and Health (2023) for the years 2025 through 2030 inclusive, plus the additional spending announced in the government's Command Paper *Stopping the Start: Our new plan to create a smokefree generation* published in October 2023 (DHSC, 2023). Overall, this package comprises:

- Implementation of all current DHSC commitments, in particular increased funding for Stop Smoking Services, anti-smoking campaign, and provision of free e-cigarettes to help smokers quit, and financial incentives to support pregnant smokers to quit. All measures to be sustained throughout the remainder of the decade, between 2025 and 2030.
- Implementation of NHS Long Term Plan commitments to provide tobacco dependence treatment for all inpatients, long-term mental health patients and pregnant smokers. To be rolled into business as usual during the next parliament.
- Implementation of additional APPG 2021 recommendations including annual opt out referral for stop smoking support for all smokers, additional investment in anti-smoking campaigns in the North and Midlands, plus

additional targeted support to quit for smokers in social housing and undergoing NHS Talking Therapies.

Since the publication of *Stopping the Start* in October 2023, the UK Government has changed as a result of the July 2024 general election. The newly elected Labour Government is committed to tobacco control policies as outlined in the Tobacco and Vapes Bill currently passing through parliament<sup>10</sup>. After the bill becomes law, the next version of the CBPF model (to be published in late 2025 or early 2026) will include modelling of the additional policies in the Tobacco and Vapes Bill, most notably the creation of a smoke-free generation (whereby children turning 15 in 2024 or younger can never legally be sold tobacco), powers to extend the indoor smoking ban to specific outdoor spaces, and a new retail licensing scheme in England, Wales and Northern Ireland for tobacco, vapes and nicotine products<sup>11</sup>.

The detailed modelling of the tobacco control policies in Scenario 2 was undertaken by the Tobacco and Alcohol Research Group at University College London. Table 5.1 shows the results from the UCL analysis in terms of modelled smoking prevalence for the years 2025 to 2030 inclusive and the difference from Scenario 1.

Table 5.1. Forecast adult smoking prevalence (percent) in England in Scenario 1 and the modelled impact of tobacco control policies in Scenario 2, 2025-30

Year	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
Scenario 1	10.8	10.3	9.8	9.3	8.8	8.3
Scenario 2	10.4	9.7	8.9	8.1	7.4	6.7
Modelled change	-0.4	-0.6	-0.9	-1.2	-1.4	-1.6

Source: calculations by Tobacco and Alcohol Research Group at UCL

Table 5.1 shows that the tobacco control policies recommended by the APPG (2023) in Scenario 2 are forecast by the UCL team to reduce smoking prevalence to 6.7% by 2030 – around a 40 per cent decline from the starting level of 11.3% in 2024. This is compared to a baseline scenario (Scenario 1) where smoking prevalence declines by around one quarter by 2030 compared to 2024.

For the other three countries in the UK we assume that the percentage point reduction in smoking prevalence each year under both scenarios is the same as for England but from a slightly higher base, reflecting the most recent smoking prevalence statistics from the 2023 Annual Population Survey<sup>12</sup>.

Note that the Conservative Government introduced an earlier version of the Tobacco and Vapes Bill in the parliamentary session which was curtailed when the 2024 general election was announced in May 2024, meaning that the Bill did not complete its passage through Parliament before the election and had to be reintroduced under the new Labour Government.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> For a summary of the measures in the Tobacco and Vapes Bill, see <a href="https://healthmedia.blog.gov.uk/2024/11/05/the-tobacco-and-vapes-bill-what-you-need-to-know/">https://healthmedia.blog.gov.uk/2024/11/05/the-tobacco-and-vapes-bill-what-you-need-to-know/</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> As measured by the Annual Population Survey, adult smoking prevalence in 2023 was 11.6% in England, 13.5% in Scotland, 12.6% in Wales and 13.3% in Northern Ireland (ONS, 2024).

Figure 5.1 shows the projections from Scenarios 1 and 2 for adult smoking prevalence from 2024 up to 2050. With the additional tobacco control investments recommended in Scenario 2, we forecast that smoking prevalence in England will fall to 5 per cent by 2033 – four years earlier than in Scenario 1.

Scenario 1 (default) ——Scenario 2 (additional tobacco control spending) ——Actual, 2020-23 (APS) 16% Forecast adult smoking prevalence, England 14% 12% 10% 8% 6% 4% 2% 0% 2020 2025 2030 2035 2040 2045 2050

Figure 5.1. Adult smoking prevalence forecasts for England, Scenario 1 (default) and Scenario 2 (with additional tobacco control investment as recommended by APPG): 2024 to 2050

Sources: Scenario 1 – assume smoking prevalence falls by 0.5 percentage points per year from 2024 onwards. Scenario 2 – additional impact of policies announced by UK Government (2023) and as recommended by APPG on Smoking and Health (2023).

year

# 5.2 Forecasts for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland population by age group

The CBPF model uses the population forecasts from the ONS's 2022-based central projection, which provides projections forward for the next 100 years (only the years up to 2073 are currently used in the model)<sup>13</sup>.

21

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Sources for population forecasts: ONS (2024b, 2024c, 2024d, 2024e). Note that the Scotland projection is 2020-based rather than 2022 based because the 2021 Census was delayed by one year due to Covid-19 and this has delayed the 2022-based forecasts until 2025.

### 5.3 Smoking-attributable mortality rate

The number of deaths due to smoking per 100,000 adults aged over 35 in the England population is assumed to be constant in future years, at the level of the estimate used in Section 2.1 above. This means that the number of deaths due to smoking evolves in proportion with the size of the over-35 smoking population. The over-35 smoking population is assumed to fall in future years in proportion to the trends in the whole adult smoking population, using the prevalence estimates for the whole adult smoking population in Figure 4.1 above.

### 5.4 Economic forecasts

#### Labour market variables

The two labour market variables used in the model are average earnings growth and working-age employment rate. For the years 2025 through 2029 these are assumed to evolve as outlined in the Office for Budget Responsibility's October 2024 *Economic and Fiscal Outlook* (OBR, 2024a). For 2030 and subsequent years the forecasts in the OBR's most recent *Long Term Economic Determinants* publication (from March 2024) are used (OBR, 2024b).

#### **Price indices**

The model uses Consumer Prices Index (CPI) and Retail Prices Index (RPI) forecasts from the OBR's October 2024 *Economic and Fiscal Outlook* (OBR, 2024a) for the years 2025 through 2029. For 2030 and subsequent years the long-term OBR forecasts from OBR (2024b) are used.

# 5.5 Uprating of tobacco duties

The current commitment is for a tobacco duty escalator for this parliament which increases duties on factory made (FM) cigarettes by RPI plus 2 per cent each year. This and the escalator are assumed to continue for the entire duration of the model (the next 50 years). Hand rolling tobacco (HRT) duties are assumed to increase by RPI plus 4 per cent until tax as a proportion of average retail price for HRT is equal to tax as a proportion of average retail price for FM cigarettes; after this point, HRT and FM cigarette duties are both assumed to increase by RPI plus 2 per cent.

#### 5.6 Tax and benefit thresholds and rates

#### Income tax and National Insurance rates and thresholds

Tax and NICs thresholds are assumed to be uprated each year with CPI inflation (the default) unless overridden by pre-announced policy decisions (for example, the announcement that income tax and NICs thresholds will be frozen in nominal terms until 2028, and the reduction in the Secondary Threshold for employer NICs to £5,000 from April 2025). Income tax and NICs percentage rates are assumed to be fixed unless specified otherwise in policy decisions (e.g. the increase in employer NICs to 15% from April 2025).

#### Universal credit and benefit uprating

Universal credit and other benefits except the state pension are assumed to be uprated in line with CPI inflation each year unless overridden by pre-announced policy decisions. The state pension is assumed to be uprated by the "triple lock" each year, with short-run triple lock projections taken from OBR (2024a) while long-run projections are taken from OBR (2024b).

#### 5.7 Public services cost increases

NHS costs are assumed to rise by 2 per cent per year above CPI, with social care and fire costs increasing in line with CPI. The higher cost increase assumption for the NHS compared to other public services reflects the fact that spending in the NHS has tended to increase at a faster rate than other public services in recent years (King's Fund, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The triple lock uprates the state pension each year by whichever is the highest of (a) CPI inflation, (b) average earnings growth, or (c) 2.5 per cent.

### 6 Methodological issues

This section sets out specific parts of the model where we have revisited the modelling assumptions used in version 1 of the CBPF model back in 2010 to assess whether they were still optimal, and adjusted them if this was not the case.

### 6.1 Choice of forecast time period

Version 1 of the model used a 50-year time horizon for calculating the costs of smoking and the benefits of reducing smoking prevalence, discounting the future stream of costs of benefits into Net Present Values (NPVs) using a 3.5% per year discount rate (as specified in the Treasury Green Book<sup>15</sup>).

The public finance aspect of the 2010 model used a five-year time horizon as the default timeframe for the model results, for two reasons, as given in the 2010 report that accompanied the original version of the model:

"First, public finance issues are a particular concern for governments in the short term. It is most important for them to know what the effect of policy changes on revenue and spending in the next few years will be. The longer-term effects of policy decisions are of less immediate usefulness (although obviously still interesting). Second, there is huge uncertainty regarding the long-term effect of some of the components which would have to be included in a public finances analysis over a fifty year time horizon" (Reed, 2010).

Version 2 of the model includes an option to display the public finance results as NPVs over a 50-year time horizon as well as over shorter timescales such as 5 or 10 years. This reflects the fact that official analysis of the long-term public finance impacts of policy decisions is more sophisticated now than it was in 2010 (for example, the OBR regularly publishes long term fiscal modelling analysis).

# 6.2 The relationship between NHS costs and the profile of ex-smokers by length of time since quitting smoking

When people stop smoking, their relative risk of developing smoking-related diseases (compared to smokers) does not fall instantaneously, but declines gradually. Some risks fall faster, and others slower. For example, there is evidence of a small more-or-less instantaneous decline in the risks of acute myocardial

24

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> HM Treasury (2022), *The Green Book: Appraisal and evaluation in central government*. <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-green-book-appraisal-and-evaluation-in-central-governent/the-green-book-2020</u>

infarction and stroke following smoking cessation<sup>16</sup>. Following this initial decline, the risks of stroke and coronary heart disease fall gradually to the same level as for non-smokers within 5 and 15 years (respectively)<sup>17</sup>. The risk of developing lung cancer falls dramatically but remains positive even 25 years after the last cigarette.<sup>18</sup>

The previous version of the cost benefit and public finance model developed in 2009-10<sup>19</sup> followed Naidoo *et al* (2000) in assuming that the aggregated risk of developing smoking-related diseases declines by 2 percentage points instantaneously for exsmokers compared with people who carry on smoking. For the rest of the risk profile, the previous version of the model followed the approach adopted in Rasmussen *et al* (2005)<sup>20</sup> in assuming that the aggregated risk declines linearly for 15 years and stabilises afterwards.

Version 2 of the CBPF model takes a different approach which is more data-driven. The new version of the model analyses the relationship between current and exsmoker status and use of NHS services directly using data from the Understanding Society panel survey<sup>21</sup>, which contains information on the number of visits to GPs, the number of hospital outpatient appointments and the number of nights spent in hospital as an inpatient for all adult survey respondents. Regression equations are estimated for GP services, hospital outpatient, and hospital inpatient services controlling for gender and age. The results from the regression are used to apportion NHS costs to current smokers, ex-smokers (quit up to 8 years ago), ex-smokers (quit more than 8 years ago) and never-smokers. Simulated changes in future smoking prevalence produce a time profile for current smokers and ex-smokers by quit date (including assumptions on how the reduction in smoking prevalence breaks down into number of quitters and people who never start smoking, building on analysis of the Understanding Society data (see Section 6.3 below).

# 6.3 Assumption about how the reduction in the number of smokers breaks down into quitters and non-starters

A reduction in smoking prevalence can be achieved either by (a) current smokers quitting (and becoming ex-smokers), or (b) a reduction in the number of non-smokers starting smoking for the first time, or a combination of these two impacts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Naidoo, B et al (2000) "Modelling the short term consequences of smoking cessation in England on the hospitalisation rates for acute myocardial infarction and stroke" *Tobacco Control* 9: 397-400

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See for example Hurley S (2005) "Short-term impact of smoking cessation on myocardial infarction and stroke hospitalisations and costs in Australia" *MJA* 183 (1): 13-17

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Peto R, Darby S, Deo H, Silcocks P, Whiteley E and Doll R (2000) "Smoking, smoking cessation and lung cancer in the UK since 1950: combination of national statistics with two case-control studies", *BMJ* 321: 323-329

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> H Reed (2010), *The effects of increasing tobacco taxation: A Cost Benefit and Public Finance analysis*, ASH.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Rasmussen A, Prescott E, Sorensen T and Sogaard J (2005) "The total lifetime health cost savings of smoking cessation to society" *European Journal of Public Health* 15(6): 601-660

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>Understanding Society: the UK Household Longitudinal Study. Institute for Social and Economic Research at the University of Essex.. <a href="https://www.understandingsociety.ac.uk/">https://www.understandingsociety.ac.uk/</a>

(a) is an increased *outflow* from the pool of current smokers, whereas (b) is a reduced *inflow* to the pool of smokers. The assumption in the model regarding the balance between these two effects will affect the model results (primarily because ex-smokers have a higher cost to the NHS than never-smokers). The default assumption in the original version of the CBPF model was that 50% of any reduction in smoking prevalence was achieved through quits, and 50% through a reduction in the number of new starters. This default assumption could be altered by the front end user.

Version 2 of the CBPF model uses data from the ONS's *Adult Smoking Habits in Great Britain* publication (ONS, 2024), which features time series data on the breakdown of the adult population into current smokers, ex-smokers and never smokers from 1974 to 2022. Analysis of the data for the decade 2012 to 2022 suggests that 22% of the decline in smoking prevalence was due to smokers quitting, whereas 78% was due to fewer people taking up smoking for the first time. The model assumes that this 22-78 split between quitters and non-starters is maintained in future years.

#### 6.4 Treatment of end-of-life healthcare costs

Sometimes it is argued that in cost benefit analyses of policies which result in a reduction in the number of premature deaths in the population (such as tobacco tax increases or tougher tobacco regulations), the additional end-of-life healthcare costs incurred by the people who live longer should be taken into account. In the 2010 version of this model we argued that, even if this were the case, it would be a mistake to include these costs in the cost-benefit analysis (CBA) because there is a fundamental methodological flaw in this approach.

Taken to its logical conclusion, the inclusion of end-of-life healthcare costs in CBAs of this type would lead to the perverse conclusion that policies which result in larger numbers of premature deaths in the population have a positive benefit to society because they reduce healthcare expenditure on elderly people.

The health impact evaluation literature in medicine and epidemiology, which uses very similar techniques to those employed in this model, has already taken this insight on board. Evaluations of healthcare interventions, such as new drugs or other treatments, do not generally include the medical costs of people living longer as an addition to costs for obvious reasons: one of the key objectives of advances in medical care is to increase life expectancy in the population.

For these reasons, neither the original version nor any of the subsequent updates of the CBPF model include end-of-life healthcare costs.

# 6.5 Valuing life using Quality Adjusted Life Years (QALYs) instead of the Value of a Prevented Fatality (VPF) method

The methodology for valuing lives lost due to smoking-related illnesses in Version 1 of the CBPF model (as well as Version 2.0, as discussed in Reed (2022)) used the Value of a Prevented Fatality (VPF) method for each life lost as specified in the Treasury Green Book (HMT 2022, Section 6.37-6.38):

"The Value of a Prevented Fatality (VPF) measures the social value of changes in risk to life. It is used to value small changes in fatality risks, where levels of human safety vary between options. This is not the value of a life, it is the value of a small change in the risk of probability of losing a statistical life. Not to value this in appraisal would effectively value human safety at zero.

In cases where alternative levels of fatality risk are involved in option design, VPF allows this to be taken into account. The value concerned is known as the value of the risk of "a statistically prevented fatality". It has been widely used for many years, particularly in transport."

From Version 2.1 of the model onwards, lives lost due to smoking-related illnesses are valued using Quality Adjusted Life Years (QALYs) instead of the VPF method. Using QALYs produces a more realistic estimate of the value of lives lost as most early deaths due to smoking-related illnesses occur for adults aged above 35 (and in most cases above age 50) and the number of QALYs lost is smaller for early deaths when aged 50 or older than for deaths at the median age of the whole UK population.

The data from DHSC's Local Tobacco Control Profiles data are used to produce the England-wide smoking attributable mortality rate per 100,000 population, age 35 years and over, broken down by sex and age. For men of women of each year of age, an average number of years of life lost is calculated using data from the ONS National Life Tables (ONS, 2024f). These age- and sex-specific average number of years of life lost are then converted into average number of QALYs lost for men and women in each age year using tables in McNamara *et al* (2023), who calculate quality-adjusted life expectancy norms for the English population<sup>22</sup>. These estimates for QALYs lost are then summed across the estimated number of lives lost for men and women in each year-of-age group (from 35 up to 99 and above) to produce an estimate of total number of QALYs in the UK lost due to smoking-related illnesses.

The QALYs are then valued using the HMT Green Book (HMT, 2022) suggested value of £70,000 per QALY (in 2022 terms) which is uprated to 2023 levels using the Consumer Price Index (giving a value per QALY of around £77,000 at 2023 prices).

This QALYs-based method produces an estimate for "value of lives lost due to smoking-related illnesses" which is substantially smaller than the VPF-based method

27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Because we do not have separate quality-adjusted life expectancy norms for the populations of the other three UK countries, we use the England conversion tables from McNamara *et al* (2023) for Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland as well as England.

used in earlier versions of the CBPF model. We believe that the results using the QALY-based method are more realistic.

Because the cost of smoking in terms of value of life is an intangible cost (as opposed to tangible costs such as lost productivity), we do not include the value of life in estimates of the cost of smoking as a percentage of UK Gross Domestic Product. However, we do include it in the overall cost estimates presented in Chapter 7 of this report and elsewhere.

### 7 Headline results from the CBPF model

This section presents headline results from Version 2.1 of the CBPF model.

### 7.1 Costs and public finance impacts of smoking in 2024

### Costs of smoking to the UK economy and society

Table 7.1 shows the estimated overall costs of smoking for England alone and for the whole UK in 2024, presented in billions of pounds. The figures are presented in three sections:

- 1. Productivity costs. These total just over £27.5 billion for England, and just under £33 billion for the UK. The largest single component is reduced GVA due to the multiplier effects of expenditure on tobacco products compared to other goods and services (as discussed in Section 2.4 above), which amounts to just over £9.6 billion for England and just over £11.4 billion for the UK. Reduced earnings for smokers compared to non-smokers (as discussed in Section 2.3) accounts for just over £9.3 billion of productivity costs for England and just over £11 billion for the UK. Reduced employment levels for the smokers compared to non-smokers accounts for just over £7.5 billion of productivity for England and around 8.95 billion of productivity losses for the UK.
- 2. Service costs. These total just over £16.1 billion for England, and just over £19.1 billion for the UK. The additional cost of informal care in the social sector is the largest single component of service costs at £9.25 billion for the UK, followed by the cost of additional unmet need for social care services at just under £6 billion, and the cost of smoking to the NHS at just under £2.2 billion.
- **3.** Cost of early deaths from smoking. This is estimated to be around £34.6 billion for England, and £41.1 billion for the UK.

The total cost of smoking to the UK economy in 2024 is estimated to be just under £93 billion for the UK, and around over £78.3 billion for England.

Table 7.1. Overall costs of smoking, England and UK: 2024

Costs of smoking	£bn	£bn
1: productivity costs	England	UK
Lost productivity due to early death	1.113	1.353
Reduced employment levels for smokers compared to	7.535	8.940
non-smokers	2 222	44.00=
Reduced earnings for smokers compared to non-	9.326	11.065
smokers		
Reduced GVA due to expenditure on tobacco products	9.624	11.419
compared to other goods and services		
Total productivity costs	27.597	32.777
2: service costs		
Healthcare: cost of smoking to NHS	1.821	2.161
Social care: cost to local authorities	1.142	1.355
Social care: cost of additional informal care	7.795	9.249
Social care: cost of additional unmet need	5.005	5.938
Fire service: overall economic cost of smoking-related	0.332	0.394
fires		
Total service costs	16.095	19.096
3: Cost of early deaths due to smoking		
Cost of early deaths valued using QALYs	34.612	41.067
TOTAL COST OF SMOKING	78.304	92.940

Source: Landman Economics calculations using CBPF model version 2.3

#### Public finance costs of smoking

Table 7.2 shows the estimated net impacts of smoking on the public finances in England and the UK in 2024. Section 1 of the table shows the impact of smoking on tax receipts, while section 2 shows the impacts on social security spending. Any impact of smoking that worsens the public finances has a negative sign in Table 7.2, while impacts that improve the public finance have a positive sign. Mostly the public finance impacts in table 7.2 have a negative sign, indicating that the impact worsens the public finances (i.e. a net cost). For example, reduced tax (and NICs) receipts due to lower earnings for smokers compared to non-smokers are estimated to worsen the UK Government's fiscal position by just under £4.5 billion in 2024 (for England only the equivalent result is just under £3.8 billion). The only positive values in the table (i.e. positive fiscal benefits from smoking) are:

- the revenue from excise duties on cigarettes and hand rolling tobacco, which is estimated at just over £8 billion at the UK level in 2024;
- reduced pension payments due to early deaths from smoking (estimated at £245 million for the UK).

At the UK level, the total tax impact of smoking is estimated to worsen the public finances by just over £3.9 billion in 2024, while the additional social security expenditure worsens the public finances by just under £4.1 billion.

The bottom half of the table shows the cost of smoking in terms of additional spending on public services, which totals just over £3.5 billion for the UK, and just under £3 billion for England. Note that for social care we only include the cost of smoking to the public finances (additional local authority expenditure) in Table 7.2, not the informal care or unmet need costs.

In total, the net cost of smoking to the public finances in 2024 is estimated at just over £11.5 billion for the UK, and just over £9.7 billion for England. Were it not for the revenue from cigarette and hand rolling tobacco taxation and the reduction in pension benefits due to earlier death, smoking would cost the public finances just over £19.5 billion in total for the UK, and just under £16.5 billion for England.

Table 7.2. Net impacts of smoking on public finances, England and UK: 2024

Public finance net costs of smoking	£bn	£bn
1: impact on tax receipts arising from productivity costs	England	UK
Reduced tax receipts due to early death	-0.338	-0.401
Reduced tax receipts due to lower employment levels for smokers	-2.927	-3.354
Reduced tax receipts due to lower earnings levels for smokers	-3.767	-4.469
Reduced tax receipts due to fewer jobs being generated by		
tobacco spending compared to other goods and services	-3.131	-3.714
Revenue from excise duties on cigarettes and HRT	6.775	8.038
Total impact on tax receipts	-3.288	-3.901
2: impact on social security spending		
Increased spending due to lower employment rates for smokers	-1.896	-2.249
Increased in-work social security spending due to lower earnings for smokers	-0.499	-0.592
Increased spending due to reduction in jobs due to tobacco spending	-1.258	-1.493
Reduced pension payments due to early death	0.206	0.245
Total social security spending impact	-3.446	-4.089
3: public service costs		
Healthcare: cost of smoking to NHS	-1.821	-2.161
Social care: cost to local authorities	-1.142	-1.355
Fire service: cost to fire and rescue services for responding to		
smoking-related fires	-0.008	-0.010
Total public service costs:	-2.972	-3.525
Total impact of smoking on public finances (excluding revenue		
from cigarette and HRT taxes)	-16.480	-19.553
TOTAL IMPACT OF SMOKING ON PUBLIC FINANCES	-9.705	-11.515

Source: Landman Economics calculations using CBPF model version 2.3

# 7.2 Impact of modelled tobacco control policies for England in Scenario 2, 2025 through 2030

This section shows results for the impact between 2025 and 2030 inclusive of the full package of tobacco control policies in Scenario 2 (as described in detail in Section 5.1 above).

#### Impact on costs of smoking to the UK economy and society

Table 7.3 shows the impact of the package of tobacco control policies on the economic and social costs of smoking. All the results presented in this section are for England alone.

Table 7.3. Impact of tobacco control measures in Scenario 2 on costs of smoking to the economy and society (England), 2025-30

Costs of smoking	Change in costs due to additional policies		
	2025	2025-30	2025-30
		average	cumulative
1: productivity costs	£bn	£bn	£bn
Lost productivity due to early			
death	-0.042	-0.107	-0.644
Reduced employment levels			
for smokers compared to non-			
smokers	-0.288	-0.745	-4.470
Reduced earnings for smokers			
compared to non-smokers	-0.356	-0.922	-5.532
Reduced GVA due to			
expenditure on tobacco			
products compared to other			
goods and services	-0.343	-0.962	-5.769
Total productivity costs	-1.029	-2.736	-16.416
2: service costs			
Healthcare: cost of smoking to	0.044	0.040	0.000
NHS	-0.014	-0.040	-0.238
Social care: cost to local	0.040	0.440	0.000
authorities	-0.042	-0.110	-0.660
Social care: cost of additional	0.005	0.700	4 000
informal care	-0.285	-0.732	-4.392
Social care: cost of additional	0.405	0.405	0.074
unmet need	-0.185	-0.495	-2.971
Fire service: cost to fire and			
rescue services for responding to smoking-related fires	0.021	0.020	0.224
Total service costs	-0.021 <b>-0.546</b>	-0.039 <b>-1.415</b>	-0.231 <b>-8.491</b>
Total Service Costs	-0.546	-1.413	-0.491
3: Cost of early deaths due			
to smoking			
Cost of early deaths valued			
using QALYs	-1.281	-3.222	-19.330
TOTAL COST OF SMOKING	-2.856	-7.373	-44.236

Source: smoking costs calculations by Landman Economics calculations using CBPF model version 2.3, based on modelled reductions in prevalence by UCL Tobacco and Alcohol Research Group as outlined in Section 5.1.

Table 7.3 shows that the package of tobacco control measures contained in Scenario 2 is forecast to reduce the total costs of smoking to the economy and society in England by around £2.85 billion in 2025. Over the period between 2025 and 2030 (inclusive), the package is forecast to lower the costs of smoking by just under £7.4 billion each year on average. This reduction in the average annual cost of smoking to England's economy and society comprises a fall of just over £2.7 billion in productivity costs, just over £1.4 billion in costs to public services and just over £3.2 billion in the costs of early deaths due to smoking.

#### Impact on the public finances

Table 7.4 shows the public finance impacts of the tobacco control measures in scenario 2 at the England level. Table 7.4 shows that in 2025 the public finances are forecast to improve by £280 million, comprising a net increase of just over £150 million in tax receipts plus a reduction of £70 million in social security spending and a reduction of just under £60 million in public service costs. Averaged across the years 2024-2029 inclusive, the public finances are forecast to improve by an average of almost £800 million per year due to reduced smoking prevalence in scenario 2 (or just under £4.8 billion summing the total across all seven years). The annual average improvement in the public finances during 2025-30 is around £800 in total, consisting of a net increase of just over £370 million per year in tax receipts, plus reductions of just under £280 million per year in social security spending and £150 million per year in public service costs.

Table 7.4. Impact of tobacco control measures in Scenario 2 on costs of smoking to the public finances (England), 2025-30

	Net change in public finances due to additional policies		
Public finance net costs of smoking	2025	2025-30	2025-30
_		average	cumulative
1: tax impacts arising from	£bn	£bn	£bn
productivity costs			
Lost tax receipts due to early death	0.014	0.036	0.216
Lost taxes due to lower employment for			
smokers compared to non-smokers	0.117	0.308	1.847
Lost taxes due to lower earnings for			
smokers	0.154	0.407	2.439
Lost taxes due to fewer jobs generated			
by tobacco spending compared to other			
goods and services	0.126	0.349	2.094
Revenue from tobacco taxation	-0.260	-0.728	-4.366
Total impacts on taxes due to			
smoking	0.152	0.372	2.231
2. Impact on social security spending			
Increased benefits due to lower			
employment rates for smokers	0.071	0.182	1.091
Increased in-work benefits due to lower			
earnings for smokers	0.019	0.049	0.296
Increased benefits from reduction in jobs			
due to tobacco spending	0.000	0.074	0.444
reduced pension payments due to early			
death	-0.019	-0.029	-0.171
Total impact on public finances due			
to benefit spend	0.071	0.277	1.659
3: public service costs			
Healthcare: cost of smoking to NHS	0.014	0.040	0.238
Social care: cost to local authorities	0.042	0.110	0.660
Fire service: cost of responding to			
smoking-related fires	0.001	0.001	0.006
Total public service costs	0.057	0.150	0.903
Total impact of smoking on public			
finances (excluding revenue from			
cigarette and HRT taxes)	0.539	1.527	9.159
TOTAL IMPACT ON PUBLIC			
FINANCES	0.280	0.799	4.793

Source: public finance impact calculations by Landman Economics using CBPF model version 2.3, based on modelled reductions in prevalence by UCL Tobacco and Alcohol Research Group as outlined in Section 5.1.

#### Impact on employment

Finally, Table 7.5 shows the average and cumulative increases in employment due to the package of tobacco control measures contained in Scenario 2 – comprising employment gains due to the higher employment multiplier effects of non-tobacco goods and services compared to tobacco expenditure. Across the years 2025 to 2030 the package of tobacco control measures is estimated to increase employment by around 57,000 (summed across all years). Around two-fifths of this increase is due to the impact of consumers switching their expenditure out of tobacco products and into other goods and services, with the other thee-fifths being due to the increase in employment arising from lower smoking prevalence (due to higher employment levels for non-smokers compared to smokers).

Table 7.5. Impact of tobacco control measures in Scenario 2 on employment (England)

Employment impact	2025-30 average	2025-30 cumulative
Higher employment due to lower smoking prevalence	5,700	28,100
Higher employment due to consumers switching expenditure from tobacco to other goods and services	3,800	22,500
TOTAL EMPLOYMENT INCREASE	9,500	57,100

Source: employment impact calculations by Landman Economics using CBPF model version 2.3

#### 8 Conclusion

This report explains the rationale and methodology for Version 2.3 of the ASH Cost Benefit and Public Finances (CBPF) model of smoking.

Many of the data inputs into the model are based on previous work conducted by the author of this report for Landman Economics, and we plan to update these on a regular basis as well as checking for updates to external data sources on an annual basis.

Overall, the cost of smoking in in 2024 is estimated at £78.3 billion for England, and £93.0 billion for the UK (to the nearest £100 million). In total, the net cost to the public finances of smoking is estimated at £9.7 billion for England, and £11.5 billion for the UK (to the nearest £100 million)

The results from the model show that increased investment in tobacco control programmes as recommended in the November 2023 report by the APPG on Smoking and Health – most of which were previously set out in the APPG's 2021 report – will deliver very substantial gains to the economy and public finances in England after 2024. Over the six years between 2025 and 2030 the modelled policies are forecast to reduce the cost of smoking to the public finances in England by just under £4.8 billion, and to reduce the cost of smoking to the economy and society in England by just over £44 billion, summing the impacts across all six years. In addition to this, the model forecasts a total gain of employment of just under 60,000 jobs over the six years.

### References

Age UK (2019), Health and care of older people in England 2019. https://www.ageuk.org.uk/globalassets/age-uk/documents/reports-and-publications/reports-and-briefings/health--

wellbeing/age\_uk\_briefing\_state\_of\_health\_and\_care\_of\_older\_people\_july2019.pdf

All-Party Parliamentary Group on Smoking and Health (2023), *Make Smoking History: A cross Government strategy to improve the nation's health, wealth and productivity.* <a href="https://ash.org.uk/uploads/APPG-on-Smoking-and-Health-Manifesto-for-a-Smokefree-Future-2023.pdf">https://ash.org.uk/uploads/APPG-on-Smoking-and-Health-Manifesto-for-a-Smokefree-Future-2023.pdf</a>?v=1699520107

ASH (2022), ASH Ready Reckoner 2022: Methodology. https://ash.org.uk/uploads/RR-methods-December-2021.pdf?v=1649167840

Branston JR, McNeill A, Gilmore AB, Hiscock R, Partos TR. Keeping smoking affordable in higher tax environments via smoking thinner roll-your-own cigarettes: Findings from the International Tobacco Control Four Country Survey 2006–15. Drug and Alcohol Dependence. 2018 Dec 1;193:110-6.

Buck C, Godfrey C and Sutton M (1995), "Tobacco and jobs: the impact of reducing consumption on employment in the UK", Society for the Study of Addiction/ Centre for Health Economics, University of York.

Buss V, West R, Kock L, Kale D and Brown J (2024), "Top-line findings on smoking in England from the Smoking Toolkit Study". UCL Tobacco and Alcohol Research Group. <a href="https://smokinginengland.info/graphs/top-line-findings">https://smokinginengland.info/graphs/top-line-findings</a>

Cancer Research UK (2022), Smoking prevalence projections for England based on data to 2021. December 2022.

https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/sites/default/files/cancer\_research\_uk\_smoking\_p\_revalence\_projections\_england.pdf

Department for Communities and Local Government (2011), "The economic cost of fire: estimates for 2008".

https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20121105004836mp\_/http://www.communities.gov.uk/documents/corporate/pdf/1838338.pdf

Department of Health (2017), *Towards a Smokefree Generation: A Tobacco Control Plan for England*.

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/630217/Towards\_a\_Smoke\_free\_Generation\_-\_A\_Tobacco\_Control\_Plan\_for\_England\_2017-2022\_\_2\_.pdf

Department of Health and Social Care (2023), Stopping the start: Our new plan to create a smokefree generation.

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/stopping-the-start-our-new-plan-to-create-a-smokefree-generation/stopping-the-start-our-new-plan-to-create-a-smokefree-generation

Ekpu V and Brown A (2015), "The Economic Impact of Smoking and of Reducing Smoking Prevalence: Review of Evidence". *Tobacco Use Insights*, 2015 Jul 14;8:1-35. doi: 10.4137/TUI.S15628. PMID: 26242225; PMCID: PMC4502793.

HM Treasury (2022), *The Green Book: Appraisal and Evaluation in Central Government*. <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-green-book-appraisal-and-evaluation-in-central-governent/the-green-book-2020">https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-green-book-appraisal-and-evaluation-in-central-governent/the-green-book-2020</a>

Home Office (2023), "Fire statistics data tables".

https://www.gov.uk/government/statistical-data-sets/fire-statistics-data-tables

Johnson, P (2009) "Cost Benefit Analysis of the FCTC Protocol on Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products", Action on Smoking and Health.

https://ash.org.uk/resources/view/cost-benefit-analysis-of-the-fctc-protocol-on-illicit-trade-in-tobacco-products

Khan, J (2022), Making smoking Obselete: Independent review into smokefree 2030 policies by Dr Javed Khan OBE.

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/1081366/khan-review-making-smoking-obsolete.pdf

McNamara S, Schneider P, Love-Koh J, Doran T and Gutacker, N (2023), "Quality-Adjusted Life Expectancy Norms for the English Population", *Value Health*, 2023, 26(2): pp163-169.

National Audit Office (2018), *Adult Social Care At A Glance*. <a href="https://www.nao.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/Adult-social-care-at-a-glance.pdf">https://www.nao.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/Adult-social-care-at-a-glance.pdf</a>

The King's Fund (2022), "The NHS budget and how it has changed", https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/projects/nhs-in-a-nutshell/nhs-budget

Office for Budget Responsibility (2024a), *Economic and Fiscal Outlook, October 2024*. <a href="https://obr.uk/efo/economic-and-fiscal-outlook-october-2024/">https://obr.uk/efo/economic-and-fiscal-outlook-october-2024/</a>

Office for Budget Responsibility (2024b), "Long-term economic determinants: March 2024". <a href="https://obr.uk/supplementary-forecast-information-release-long-term-economic-determinants-march-2024/">https://obr.uk/supplementary-forecast-information-release-long-term-economic-determinants-march-2024/</a>

Office for National Statistics (2024a), "Adult smoking habits in the UK: 2023". <a href="https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/healthandlifeexpectancies/bulletins/adultsmokinghabitsingreatbritain/2023">https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/healthandlifeexpectancies/bulletins/adultsmokinghabitsingreatbritain/2023</a>

Office for National Statistics (2024b), "Principal projection – England population in age groups",

https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationprojections/datasets/tablea24principalprojectionenglandpopulationinagegroups

Office for National Statistics (2024c), "Principal projection – Wales population in age groups",

https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationprojections/datasets/tablea25principalprojectionwalespopulationinagegroups

Office for National Statistics (2024d), "Principal projection – Scotland population in age groups",

https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationprojections/datasets/tablea26principalprojectionscotlandpopulationinagegroups

Office for National Statistics (2024e), "Principal projection – Northern Ireland population in age groups",

https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationprojections/datasets/tablea27principalprojectionnorthernirelandpopulationinageqroups

Office for National Statistics (2024f), "National Life Tables: UK".

https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/lifexpectancies/datasets/nationallifetablesunitedkingdomreferencetables

Public Health England (2021), Response to consultation on proposed changes to the calculation of smoking attributable mortality and hospital admissions, <a href="https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/964447/Consultation\_response\_on\_proposed\_changes\_to\_smoking\_relative\_risks.pdf">https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/964447/Consultation\_response\_on\_proposed\_changes\_to\_smoking\_relative\_risks.pdf</a>

Reed, H (2010), *The effects of increasing tobacco taxation: A Cost Benefit and Public Finance analysis*. Action on Smoking and Health. <a href="https://portal-uat.who.int/fctcapps/sites/default/files/kh-media/e-library-doc/2019/12/Reed-2010-CBA.pdf">https://portal-uat.who.int/fctcapps/sites/default/files/kh-media/e-library-doc/2019/12/Reed-2010-CBA.pdf</a>

Reed, H (2020), *The impact of smoking history on employment prospects, earnings and productivity: an analysis using UK panel data*. Action on Smoking and Health. <a href="https://ash.org.uk/uploads/LandmanEconomics-smoking-employment-earnings-technical-report.pdf">https://ash.org.uk/uploads/LandmanEconomics-smoking-employment-earnings-technical-report.pdf</a>

Reed, H (2021a), *The economic impact of a smokefree United Kingdom: technical report.* Action on Smoking and Health.

https://ash.org.uk/uploads/EconomicImpactSmokeFreeUK-FINAL.pdf

Reed, H (2021b), *The costs of smoking to the social care system and related costs for older people in England: 2021 revision*. Action on Smoking and Health. <a href="https://ash.org.uk/uploads/Landman-Economics-CostsOfSmokingToSocialCaresystem-March2021.pdf">https://ash.org.uk/uploads/Landman-Economics-CostsOfSmokingToSocialCaresystem-March2021.pdf</a>

Reed, H (2023a). Guide to the ASH Cost Benefit and Public Finances model of smoking, Version 2. February 2023. <a href="https://ash.org.uk/uploads/CBPF-model-2023.pdf">https://ash.org.uk/uploads/CBPF-model-2023.pdf</a>

Reed, H (2023b). The impact of smoking, heavy drinking and obesity on employment prospects, earnings and productivity: analyses using UK panel data. Action on

#### Smoking and Health.

https://ash.org.uk/uploads/CrossRiskFactorTechnicalReportV3.pdf?v=1700757987

Reed, H (2024), Cost Benefit and Public Finance Model of Smoking, Version 2.2. May 2024. https://ash.org.uk/uploads/CBPF-model-May-2024.pdf

Reed, H (2025), *The Economic Impact of a Smokefree United Kingdom – 2025 Update: Technical Report.* ASH, forthcoming.

World Bank (2017), Tobacco Tax Reform: At the Crossroads of Health and Development.

https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/824771507037794706/pdf/WP-P154568-TobaccoTaxReform-PUBLIC.pdf

# Appendix: Detailed methodology for calculation of lost productivity due to smoking-related early deaths

- a) DHSC's Local Tobacco Control Profiles data are used to produce the England-wide smoking attributable mortality rate per 100,000 population, age 35 years and over. If available, this is combined with similar data for Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland to produce an overall UK figure; if not, the England-wide mortality rate is used as a proxy for the UK mortality rate.
- b) The mortality rate from step (a) is applied to estimates from Public Health England of the number of smokers aged 35+ to give a gross figure for the estimated number of smoking-attributable deaths. This figure is scaled up from England to the UK (using information on adult smoking prevalence and population size in Scotland, Wales and N Ireland compared to England)
- c) The distribution of all deaths in the UK is calculated across age and sex based on data from the National Life Tables published by ONS.
- d) The gross number of smoking-attributable deaths (step b) is disaggregated by sex and age (35-89 years) according to the distribution calculated in step (c)
- e) Employment rates (%) for the UK, stratified by sex and age group, are calculated from ONS Labour Market Statistics.
- f) The estimates from steps (d) and (e) are combined to produce an estimate of smoking-attributable deaths across the UK as a whole in the different age/sex categories for people in employment only.
- g) For each age/sex category, the number of years of potential productivity remaining is calculated based on analysis of employment rates for smokers and non-smokers by age group using micro-data from the Understanding Society panel survey. (the technical specification is known as a hazard model). This data analysis is used to produce an estimate of average remaining years in employment for non-smokers in employment by age.
- h) Outputs from step (g) are combined with outputs from step (f) to produce an actuarial table of years of potential productivity lost due to smoking-attributable early deaths for each age/sex category.
- i) The distribution of earnings from employment and self-employment in the UK (combined) is derived from Family Resources Survey data and broken down for each age/sex category using summary quantile points of the distribution (e.g. within-quintile means). Note that using multiple quantile points isn't necessary for the productivity analysis but is necessary for accurate modelling of the tax effects of productivity losses due to early death because of the progressivity of the tax system see Section 3.1 of this report for more details on this.
- j) The output from step (h) is combined with the output of step (i) to produce a
  gross estimate of lost labour income due to early deaths from smoking,
  stratified by sex and age group.
   A discounting table is produced using the years of remaining potential
  productivity from step (g) and the assigned discounting factor (3.5% by

default) to calculate an age-, year- and sex-stratified discounting value.